

MINUTE
of
LOCAL REVIEW BODY

Minute of virtual meeting held at 2.00pm on 4 November 2020.

Present:

Councillor Annette Ireland (Chair)
Councillor Angela Convery
Provost Jim Fletcher

Councillor Jim McLean
Councillor Jim Swift

Councillor Ireland in the Chair

Attending:

Matt Greenen, Senior Strategy Officer (Planning Adviser); Siobhan Wilson, Solicitor (Legal Adviser); Eamonn Daly, Democratic Services Manager (Clerk) and Liona Allison, Assistant Committee Services Officer.

Apologies:

Councillors Betty Cunningham (Vice Chair) and Stewart Miller.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

1416. There were no declarations of interest intimated.

NOTICE OF REVIEW – REVIEW 2020/11 – ERECTION OF ONE AND A HALF STOREY SIDE EXTENSION FOLLOWING DEMOLITION OF EXISTING EXTENSION (AMENDED DESIGN) AT 29 EAST KILBRIDE ROAD, BUSBY (REF NO: 2020/0307/TP)

1417. The Local Review Body considered a report by the Deputy Chief Executive, relative to a 'Notice of Review' submitted by Mr P Di Mambro, against the decision taken by officers to refuse planning permission in respect of the erection of a one and a half storey side extension following demolition of the existing extension at 29 East Kilbride Road, Busby.

The decision had been made in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation made in terms of Section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as amended.

Members of the Local Review Body were not able to visit the site prior to the meeting due to the restrictions associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. However the Local Review Body had visited the site previously as part of its consideration of an earlier planning application. In addition the Planning Adviser had produced street map screen shots of the site which had been circulated to members of the LRB.

Prior to consideration of the review the Democratic Services Manager clarified that the reason for the review was that the application had been refused and not due to non-determination of the application as stated in the covering report.

The Senior Strategy Officer then summarised the papers before the Members. He outlined the proposal referring to the site; the representations received; and the response from the applicant to the representations. He referred to the reasons for the refusal of the application and to the notice of review submitted by the applicant in which the reasons for the review request were set out.

Commenting further he referred to the existing planning consent for an extension that had been granted, explaining that whilst this was contrary to the development plan it was not considered overly dominant or incongruous in terms of its size and location on the site.

He concluded by reminding Members that the application should be considered in terms of the development plan and other material considerations, one of which was the proposed Local Development Plan (LDP)². The relevant policies in the proposed plan were similar to those in the existing development plan.

The Senior Strategy Officer was heard thereafter in response to questions from Members following which the Local Review Body, having considered the information previously circulated and the information provided by the Senior Strategy Officer, agreed that it had sufficient information to determine the review without further procedure.

Thereafter the Local Review Body agreed to uphold the decision of the Appointed Officer as set out in the decision notice dated 4 September 2020 and refuse planning permission.

CHAIR